

1-6-2022

Parameter Estimation Based on Double Ranked Set Samples with Applications to Weibull Distribution

Mohamed Abd Elhamed Sabry

Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt, mohusss@cu.edu.eg

Hiba Zeyada Muhammed

Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt, hiba_stat@yahoo.com

Mostafa Shaaban

The High Institute for Tourism, Hotels & Computer, Alexandria, Egypt, moushaaban@gmail.com

Abd El Hady Nabih

Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt, hadi@cu.edu.eg



Part of the [Applied Statistics Commons](#), [Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons](#), and the [Statistical Theory Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Sabry, M. A. E., Muhammed, H. Z., Shaaban, M., & Nabih, A. E. H. (2020). Parameter estimation based on double ranked set samples with applications to Weibull distribution. *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods*, 19(1), eP3070. doi: [10.22237/jmasm/1619482260](https://doi.org/10.22237/jmasm/1619482260)

EMERGING SCHOLAR

Parameter Estimation Based on Double Ranked Set Samples with Applications to Weibull Distribution

Mohamed Abd Elhamed Sabry

Cairo University
Cairo, Egypt

Hiba Zeyada Muhammed

Cairo University
Cairo, Egypt

Mostafa Shaaban

The High Institute for Tourism, Hotels & Computer
Alexandria, Egypt

Abd El Hady Nabih

Cairo University
Cairo, Egypt

In this paper, the likelihood function for parameter estimation based on double ranked set sampling (DRSS) schemes is introduced. The proposed likelihood function is used for the estimation of the Weibull distribution parameters. The maximum likelihood estimators (MLEs) are investigated and compared to the corresponding ones based on simple random sampling (SRS) and ranked set sampling (RSS) schemes. A Monte Carlo simulation is conducted and the absolute relative biases, mean square errors, and efficiencies are compared for the different schemes. It is found that, the MLEs based on DRSS is more efficient than MLE using SRS and RSS for estimating the two parameters of the Weibull distribution (WD).

Keywords: Simple random sampling, ranked set sampling, double ranked set sampling, estimation parameter, maximum likelihood estimation

Introduction

In 1952, McIntyre (1952) had developed the technique of RSS to find a more efficient method to estimate the mean pasture yields. McIntyre found that the estimator based on ranked set sampling (RSS) is more efficient than SRS. RSS assumed that there will be no errors in ranking the units with respect to the variable of interest which for most practical applications there will imperfect ranking and there will be a loss in efficiency of the estimators based on RSS (see Al-Omari &

Jaber, 2010). To reduce the errors in ranking, several modifications on the RSS procedure are made. For example, the extreme ranked set sampling (ERSS) introduced by Samawi et al. (1996), the median ranked set sampling (MRSS) introduced by Muttlak (1997), the moving extreme ranked set sampling (MERSS) introduced by Al-Odat and Al-Saleh (2001), and the multistage ranked set sampling (MSRSS) introduced by Al-Saleh and Al-Omari (2002).

According to Wolfe (2004), the procedure for obtaining the RSS can be summarized as follows:

- Step 1: Randomly select m^2 units from a target population with cumulative distribution function (cdf) and probability density function (pdf) $F(x; \theta)$ and $f(x; \theta)$, respectively.
- Step 2: Allocate the m^2 selected units as randomly as possible into m sets, each of size m .
- Step 3: Without yet knowing any values for the variable of interest, rank the units within each set with respect to variable of interest. This may be based on personal professional judgment or done with concomitant variable correlated with the variable of interest.
- Step 4: Choose a sample for actual quantification by including the smallest ranked unit in the first set, the second smallest ranked unit in the second set, the process is continued in this way until the largest ranked unit is selected from the last set.
- Step 5: Repeat steps 1 through 4 for r cycles to obtain a sample of size mr .

RSS uses only one observation, namely $X_{(11)k}$, the lowest observation in the k^{th} cycle, from this set, then $X_{(22)k}$, the second lowest from another independent set of m observations, and finally $X_{(mm)k}$, the largest observation from a last set of m observations. This process can be described in Figure 1.

					RSS
$X_{(11)k}$	$X_{(12)k}$	$\cdots$	$X_{(1(m-1))k}$	$X_{(1m)k}$	$\mathbf{X_{(11)k}}$
$X_{(21)k}$	$X_{(22)k}$	$\cdots$	$X_{(2(m-1))k}$	$X_{(2m)k}$	$\mathbf{X_{(22)k}}$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$		$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$X_{(m1)k}$	$X_{(m2)k}$	$\cdots$	$X_{(m(m-1))k}$	$X_{(mm)k}$	$\mathbf{X_{(mm)k}}$

Figure 1. Display of m^2 observations in in the k^{th} set cycle sets of size m

Let $\{X_{(ij)}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$ be RSS, where m is the set size and r is the number of cycles. In the rest of this paper and for simplification proposes we will use $X_{(ij)}$ instead of $X_{(ii)j}$. Then cdf and pdf of X_{ij} are given by

$$F_{i:m}(x_{(ij)}; \theta) = \sum_{t=i}^m \binom{m}{t} [F(x_{(ij)}; \theta)]^t [1 - F(x_{(ij)}; \theta)]^{m-t} \quad (1)$$

and

$$f_{i:m}(x_{(ij)}; \theta) = \frac{m!}{(i-1)!(m-i)!} f(x_{(ij)}; \theta) [F(x_{(ij)}; \theta)]^{i-1} [1 - F(x_{(ij)}; \theta)]^{m-i}, \quad (2)$$

respectively, where $-\infty < x_{(ij)} < \infty$. The joint pdf of $x_{(ij)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ is then given by

$$L(\theta; X_R) = \prod_{i=1}^m f_{i:m}(x_{(ij)}; \theta).$$

In this paper, we introduce the likelihood function of the double ranked set sampling (DRSS) for estimation of the parameters of different lifetime distributions for the first time. The next section discusses the DRSS procedure. This is followed by an estimation process for the Weibull distribution parameters for different schemes. A simulation study for comparing the DRSS estimators with both SRS and RSS estimators is then presented. Results, conclusions, and final remarks are then given.

Double-Ranked Set Sampling (DRSS)

According to Al-Saleh and Al-Kadiri (2000), the DRSS is described as follows:

- Step 1: Identify m^3 elements from a target population with cdf and pdf $F(x; \theta)$ and $f(x; \theta)$, respectively.
- Step 2: Divide the m^3 elements randomly into m sets each of size m^2 elements and use the usual RSS procedure on each set to obtain m RSS each of size m of the form

$$X_R = \left\{ \left\{ X_{(1)j}, X_{(2)j}, \dots, X_{(m)j} \right\}, j = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\}.$$

Step 3: Case I

For even set sizes ($m = 2r$), select from the first r sets the minimum ranked measurement and from the last r sets select the maximum ranked measurement. The DRSS will be of the form

$$X_{D(e)} = \left\{ X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}, \dots, X_{1,r}, X_{m,(r+1)}, X_{m,(r+2)}, \dots, X_{m,m} \right\}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$X_{1,j} = \min \left\{ \left\{ X_{(1)j}, X_{(2)j}, \dots, X_{(m)j} \right\}, j = 1, 2, \dots, r \right\}$$

$$X_{m,k} = \max \left\{ \left\{ X_{(1)k}, X_{(2)k}, \dots, X_{(m)k} \right\}, k = r+1, r+2, \dots, m \right\}$$

Case II

For odd set sizes ($m = 2r + 1$), select from the first r sets the minimum ranked measurement, from the $(r + 1)^{\text{th}}$ set, select the median and from the last r sets select the maximum measurement. The DRSS will be of the form

$$X_{D(o)} = \left\{ X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}, \dots, X_{1,r}, X_{(r+1),(r+1)}, X_{m,(r+2)}, \dots, X_{m,m} \right\} \quad (4)$$

where

$$X_{(r+1),(r+1)} = \text{median} \left\{ X_{(1)(r+1)}, X_{(2)(r+1)}, \dots, X_{(m)(r+1)} \right\}.$$

Joint Probability Distribution of DRSS

In this section we will derive the joint probability distribution of a modified DRSS. It can be easily shown that for the minimum, maximum, and median order statistics, the cdfs are given by

$$F_{\text{Ln}}(x; \theta) = P_{\text{Ln}}(X \leq x) = 1 - [1 - F(x; \theta)]^n$$

PARAMETER ESTIMATION BASED ON DRSS WITH APPLICATIONS

$$F_{n:n}(X; \theta) = [F(x; \theta)]^n$$

$$F_{\text{med}:n}(x; \theta) = \frac{(2r+1)!}{(r)!(r)!} \sum_{w=0}^r \frac{(-1)^w \binom{r}{w}}{r+w+1} (F(x_w; \theta))^{r+w+1}$$

for odd sample size $n = 2r + 1$.

For a DRSS with even set sizes $m = 2r$ defined in equation (3), the cdf and the pdf of $X_{1:m}^j, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ are given by

$$F_{X_{1,j}}(x_{1,j}; \theta) = P_{X_{1,j}}(X_{1,j} \leq x_{1,j}) = 1 - [1 - F_{1:m}(x_{1,j}; \theta)]^m$$

$$f_{X_{1,j}}(x_{1,j}; \theta) = m f_{1:m}(x_{1,j}; \theta) [1 - F_{1:m}(x_{1,j}; \theta)]^{m-1}$$

respectively, and the likelihood function of the subsample $X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}, \dots, X_{1,r}$ will be given by

$$L_{1:m}(\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^r \left(m f_{1:m}(x_{1,j}; \theta) [1 - F_{1:m}(x_{1,j}; \theta)]^{m-1} \right). \quad (5)$$

Similarly, the cdf and pdf of $X_{m:m}^k$ are given by

$$F_{X_{m,k}}(x_{m,k}; \theta) = P_{X_{m,k}}(X_{m,k} \leq x_{m,k}) = [F_{m:m}(x_{m,k}; \theta)]^m$$

$$f_{X_{m,k}}(x_{m,k}; \theta) = m f_{m:m}(x_{m,k}; \theta) [F_{m:m}(x_{m,k}; \theta)]^{m-1}$$

respectively, and the joint pdf of the random vector $X_{m:m}^{r+1}, X_{m:m}^{r+2}, \dots, X_{m:m}^m$ is defined as

$$L_{m:m}(\theta) = \prod_{k=r+1}^m \left(m f_{m:m}(x_{m,k}; \theta) [F_{m:m}(x_{m,k}; \theta)]^{m-1} \right). \quad (6)$$

From equations (5) and (6), the likelihood function for an even size DRSS will be of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(\theta; X_{D(e)}) &= \left[\prod_{j=1}^r f_{X_{1,j}}(x_{1,j}; \theta) \right] \times \left[\prod_{k=r+1}^m f_{X_{m,k}}(x_{m,k}; \theta) \right] \\
 &= \left[\prod_{j=1}^r \left(m f_{1:m}(x_{1,j}; \theta) [1 - F_{1:m}(x_{1,j}; \theta)]^{m-1} \right) \right] \\
 &\quad \times \left[\prod_{k=r+1}^m \left(m f_{m:m}(x_{m,k}; \theta) [F_{m:m}(x_{m,k}; \theta)]^{m-1} \right) \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

When m is odd, say $m = 2r + 1$, the cdf and pdf of $X_{(r+1),(r+1)}$ is given by

$$F_{X_{(r+1),(r+1)}}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}; \theta) = \frac{(2r+1)!}{(r)!(r)!} \sum_{l=0}^r \frac{(-1)^l \binom{r}{l}}{r+l+1} \left(F_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}; \theta) \right)^{r+l+1} \tag{8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{X_{(r+1),(r+1)}}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}; \theta) &= \frac{(2r+1)!}{(r)!(r)!} f_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}; \theta) \\
 &\quad \times \left(F_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}; \theta) (1 - F_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}; \theta)) \right)^r
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

From equations (5), (6), and (9), the likelihood function for an odd size DRSS will be of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{X_{D(o)}}(\theta) &= \left[\prod_{j=1}^r f_{X_{1,j}}(x_{1,j}; \theta) \right] \left[\prod_{k=r+1}^m f_{X_{m,k}}(x_{m,k}; \theta) \right] \left(f_{X_{(r+1),(r+1)}}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}; \theta) \right) \\
 &= \left[\prod_{j=1}^r m f_{1:m}(x_{1,j}; \theta) [1 - F_{1:m}(x_{1,j}; \theta)]^{m-1} \right] \\
 &\quad \times \left[\prod_{k=r+1}^m m f_{m:m}(x_{m,k}; \theta) [F_{m:m}(x_{m,k}; \theta)]^{m-1} \right] \\
 &\quad \times \frac{(2r+1)!}{(r)!(r)!} f_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}; \theta) \\
 &\quad \times \left(F_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}; \theta) (1 - F_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}; \theta)) \right)^r
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

For more information about distributions of order statistics, the reader is recommended to David and Nagaraja (2003) and Barry et al. (2008).

Special Cases

In this section two special cases regarding the DRSS and the likelihood function are considered. These cases can provide more flexible schemes based on DRSS samples.

Case I

We can choose $X_D = \{X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}, \dots, X_{1,m}\}$ which will lead to a right DRSS with likelihood function

$$L_{X_{DR}}(\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^m m f_{1:m}(x_{1,j}; \theta) [1 - F_{1:m}(x_{1,j}; \theta)]^{m-1}.$$

Case II

We can choose $X_{DL} = \{X_{m,1}, X_{m,2}, \dots, X_{m,m}\}$ which will lead to a left DRSS with likelihood function

$$L_{X_{DL}}(\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^m m f_{m:m}(x_{m,j}; \theta) [F_{m:m}(x_{m,j}; \theta)]^{m-1}.$$

Estimation of the Weibull Distribution Parameters

The Weibull distribution introduced by the Swedish physicist Weibull (1951), is one of the most widely used lifetime distributions in reliability engineering. It is a versatile distribution that can take on the characteristics of other types of distributions, where β is a shape parameter and λ is a scale parameter based on the value of the shape parameter. The cdf, pdf, and the quantile functions of the Weibull distribution are given by

$$F(x; \lambda, \beta) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x^\beta}, \quad (11)$$

$$f(x; \lambda, \beta) = \lambda \beta x^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda x^\beta}, \quad (12)$$

and

$$Q(u) = \left[\frac{-\ln(1-u)}{\lambda} \right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, \quad (13)$$

respectively, where $x > 0$, $\lambda > 0$, $\beta > 0$, and $0 < u < 1$.

Estimation Based on SRS

Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ be independent and identically distributed random variables from Weibull distribution with pdf given in equation (10). The likelihood function of λ and β is given by

$$L(\lambda, \beta; x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda \beta x_i^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda x_i^\beta},$$

and the log likelihood function is then derived as

$$\ell(\lambda, \beta) = n \log \lambda + n \log \beta + (\beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda x_i^\beta.$$

Now, the likelihood equations are

$$\frac{n}{\hat{\lambda}} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{\hat{\beta}} = 0, \quad (14)$$

and

$$\frac{n}{\hat{\beta}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\lambda} x_i^{\hat{\beta}} \log x_i = 0. \quad (15)$$

Equation (14) don't have a closed form solution. Therefore, numerical techniques are used to solve for the MLEs of the scale and shape parameters.

Estimation Based on RSS

Let $\{X_i^j, i = 1, 2, \dots, n; j = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$ be a ranked set sample with cdf and pdf given in equations (1) and (2), where n is the set size, r is the number of cycles, and $m = nr$. The Likelihood function of the RSS sample for Weibull data is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_r(\lambda, \beta; x) &= \prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^n C_i f(x_{(i)j}; \lambda, \beta) \left[F(x_{(i)j}; \lambda, \beta) \right]^{i-1} \left[1 - F(x_{(i)j}; \lambda, \beta) \right]^{n-i} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^n C_i \left(\lambda \beta (x_{(i)j})^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda (x_{(i)j})^\beta} \right) \left(1 - e^{-\lambda (x_{(i)j})^\beta} \right)^{i-1} \left(e^{-\lambda (x_{(i)j})^\beta} \right)^{n-i} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where

$$C_i = \frac{n!}{(i-1)!(n-i)!}.$$

The log likelihood function can be derived directly as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_r(\lambda, \beta) &\propto nr \log \lambda + nr \log \beta + (\beta - 1) \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_{(i)j} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^n (n-i+1) \lambda (x_{(i)j})^\beta + \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^n (i-1) \log \left(1 - e^{-\lambda (x_{(i)j})^\beta} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The likelihood equations become

$$\frac{nr}{\hat{\lambda}} - (n-i+1) \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{(i)j})^{\hat{\beta}} + (i-1) \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_{(i)j})^{\hat{\beta}} e^{-\lambda (x_{(i)j})^{\hat{\beta}}}}{1 - e^{-\lambda (x_{(i)j})^{\hat{\beta}}}} = 0 \quad (17)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{nr}{\hat{\beta}} + \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_{(i)j} - (n-i+1) \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\lambda} \left(x_{(i)j} \right)^{\hat{\beta}} \log x_{(i)j} \\ + (i-1) \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda \left(x_{(i)j} \right)^{\hat{\beta}} e^{-\hat{\lambda} \left(x_{(i)j} \right)^{\hat{\beta}}}}{1 - e^{-\hat{\lambda} \left(x_{(i)j} \right)^{\hat{\beta}}}} \log x_{(i)j} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

These two nonlinear equations can't be solved analytically and will be solved numerically.

Estimation Based on DRSS

According to equations (7) and (10), the likelihood function for the Weibull distribution is derived as follows:

Case I. m even ($m = 2r$)

$$L_{D(e)}(\theta) = \left[\prod_{j=1}^r m f_{1:m}(x_{1,j}) [1 - F_{1:m}(x_{1,j})]^{m-1} \right] \left[\prod_{k=r+1}^m m f_{m:m}(x_{m,k}) [F_{m:m}(x_{m,k})]^{m-1} \right].$$

where $f_{1:m}(x_j) = m f(x_{1,j}) [1 - F(x_{1,j})]^{m-1}$, $F_{1:m}(x_{1,j}) = 1 - [1 - F(x_{1,j})]^m$, $f_{m:m}(x_k) = m f(x_{m,k}) [F(x_{m,k})]^{m-1}$ and $F_{m:m}(x_{m,k}) = [F(x_{m,k})]^m$. The likelihood function is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{D(e)}(\theta) &= \left[\prod_{j=1}^r m \left(m f(x_{1,j}) [1 - F(x_{1,j})]^{m-1} \right) \left[[1 - F(x_{1,j})]^m \right]^{m-1} \right] \\
 &\quad \times \left[\prod_{k=r+1}^m m \left(m f(x_{m,k}) [F(x_{m,k})]^{m-1} \right) \left[[F(x_{m,k})]^m \right]^{m-1} \right] \\
 &= \left[\prod_{j=1}^r m \left(m \lambda \beta x_{1,j}^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda x_{1,j}^\beta} \left(e^{-\lambda x_{1,j}^\beta} \right)^{m-1} \right) \left(e^{-\lambda x_{1,j}^\beta} \right)^{m(m-1)} \right] \\
 &\quad \times \left[\prod_{k=r+1}^m m \left(m \lambda \beta x_{m,k}^{\beta-1} \left(e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^\beta} \right) \right) \left(1 - e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^\beta} \right)^{m-1} \left[\left(1 - e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^\beta} \right)^m \right]^{(m-1)} \right] \\
 &= \left[\prod_{j=1}^r m \left(m \lambda \beta x_{1,j}^{\beta-1} \left(e^{-\lambda m^2 x_{1,j}^\beta} \right) \right) \right] \\
 &\quad \times \left[\prod_{k=r+1}^m m \left(m \lambda \beta x_{m,k}^{\beta-1} \left(e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^\beta} \right) \right) \left(1 - e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^\beta} \right)^{(m^2-1)} \right] \\
 &= m^{2m} \lambda^m \beta^m \left(\prod_{j=1}^r x_{1,j}^{\beta-1} \right) \left(\prod_{k=r+1}^m x_{m,k}^{\beta-1} \right) \left(e^{-\lambda m^2 \sum_{j=1}^r x_{1,j}^\beta} \right) \left(e^{-\lambda \sum_{k=r+1}^m x_{m,k}^\beta} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left[\prod_{k=r+1}^m \left(1 - e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^\beta} \right)^{(m^2-1)} \right] \tag{19}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, the associated log-likelihood function is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ell_{D(e)} &= 2m \log m + m \log \lambda + m \log \beta + (\beta - 1) \sum_{j=1}^r \log x_{1,j} + (\beta - 1) \sum_{k=r+1}^m \log x_{m,k} \\
 &\quad - \lambda m^2 \sum_{j=1}^r x_{1,j}^\beta - \lambda \sum_{k=r+1}^m x_{m,k}^\beta + (m^2 - 1) \sum_{k=r+1}^m \log \left(1 - e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^\beta} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

and the likelihood equations are given by

$$\frac{\partial \ell_{D(e)}}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{m}{\lambda} - m^2 \sum_{j=1}^r x_{1,j}^\beta - \sum_{k=r+1}^m x_{m,k}^\beta + (m^2 - 1) \sum_{k=r+1}^m \frac{x_{m,k}^\beta e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^\beta}}{1 - e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^\beta}} \tag{20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ell_{D(e)}}{\partial \beta} = & \frac{m}{\beta} + \sum_{j=1}^r \log x_{1,j} + \sum_{k=r+1}^m \log x_{m,k} - \lambda m^2 \sum_{j=1}^r x_{1,j}^{\beta} \log x_{1,j} \\ & - \lambda \sum_{k=r+1}^m x_{m,k}^{\beta} \log x_{m,k} + (m^2 - 1) \sum_{k=r+1}^m \frac{\lambda x_{m,k}^{\beta} \log x_{m,k} e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^{\beta}}}{1 - e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^{\beta}}} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The maximum likelihoods estimators (MLEs) of λ and β , denoted by $\hat{\lambda}$ and $\hat{\beta}$, can be computed by solving the above likelihood equations. The above equations cannot give a closed form solutions and for that, some numerical methods will be employed in order to obtain the desired estimates.

Case II. m odd ($m = 2r + 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} L_{D(o)}(\theta) = & \left[\prod_{j=1}^r m f_{1:m}(x_{1,j}) [1 - F_{1:m}(x_{1,j})]^{m-1} \right] \left[\prod_{k=r+2}^m m f_{m:m}(x_{m,k}) [F_{m:m}(x_{m,k})]^{m-1} \right] \\ & \times \left[\frac{(2r+1)!}{(r)!(r)!} f_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}) \left(F_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}) (1 - F_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)})) \right)^r \right] \\ = & \left[\prod_{j=1}^r m f_{1:m}(x_{1,j}) [1 - F_{1:m}(x_{1,j})]^{m-1} \right] \left[\prod_{k=r+2}^m m f_{m:m}(x_{m,k}) [F_{m:m}(x_{m,k})]^{m-1} \right] \\ & \times \left[\frac{(2r+1)!}{(r)!(r)!} \left(\left[\frac{m!}{r!r!} f(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}) \left(F(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}) \right)^r (1 - F(x_{(r+1),(r+1)})) \right)^r \right] \right. \\ & \left. \times \left(F_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}) (1 - F_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)})) \right)^r \right] \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$F_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}) = \sum_{t=r+1}^m \binom{m}{t} \left(F(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}) \right)^t \left(1 - F(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}) \right)^{m-t}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{D(o)}(\theta) &= \left[\prod_{j=1}^r m \left(m \lambda \beta x_{1,j}^{\beta-1} \left(e^{-\lambda m^2 x_{1,j}^{\beta}} \right) \right) \right] \\
 &\quad \times \left[\prod_{k=r+2}^m m \left(m \lambda \beta x_{m,k}^{\beta-1} \left(e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^{\beta}} \right) \right) \left(1 - e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^{\beta}} \right)^{(m^2-1)} \right] \\
 &\quad \times \left[\frac{(2r+1)!}{(r)!(r)!} \left(\frac{m!}{r!r!} \left(\lambda \beta x_{(r+1),(r+1)}^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda(r+1)x_{(r+1),(r+1)}^{\beta}} \right) \left(1 - e^{-\lambda x_{(r+1),(r+1)}^{\beta}} \right)^r \right) \right] \\
 &\quad \times \left(F_{r+1:m} \left(x_{(r+1),(r+1)} \right) \right)^r \left(1 - F_{r+1:m} \left(x_{(r+1),(r+1)} \right) \right)^r \\
 &= m^{2(m-1)} \lambda^m \beta^m \left(\prod_{j=1}^r x_{1,j}^{\beta-1} \right) \left(\prod_{k=r+2}^m x_{m,k}^{\beta-1} \right) \left(e^{-\lambda m^2 \sum_{j=1}^r x_{1,j}^{\beta}} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left(e^{-\lambda \sum_{k=r+2}^m x_{m,k}^{\beta}} \right) \prod_{k=r+2}^m \left(1 - e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^{\beta}} \right)^{(m^2-1)} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\frac{(2r+1)!}{(r)!(r)!} \left(\frac{m!}{r!r!} \left(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda(r+1)x_{(r+1),(r+1)}^{\beta}} \right) \left(1 - e^{-\lambda x_{(r+1),(r+1)}^{\beta}} \right)^r \right) \right] \\
 &\quad \times \left(F_{r+1:m} \left(x_{(r+1),(r+1)} \right) \right)^r \left(1 - F_{r+1:m} \left(x_{(r+1),(r+1)} \right) \right)^r \tag{22}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, the associated log-likelihood function is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ell_{D(o)} &= 2(m-1) \log m + m \log \lambda + m \log \beta + (\beta-1) \sum_{j=1}^r \log x_{1,j} \\
 &\quad + (\beta-1) \sum_{k=r+2}^m \log x_{m,k} - \lambda m^2 \sum_{j=1}^r x_{1,j}^{\beta} - \lambda \sum_{k=r+2}^m x_{m,k}^{\beta} \\
 &\quad + (m^2-1) \sum_{k=r+2}^m \log \left(1 - e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^{\beta}} \right) + \log \left(\frac{(2r+1)!}{(r)!(r)!} * \frac{m!}{r!r!} \right) \\
 &\quad + (\beta-1) \log x_{(r+1),(r+1)} - \lambda(r+1) x_{(r+1),(r+1)}^{\beta} + r \log \left(1 - e^{-\lambda x_{(r+1),(r+1)}^{\beta}} \right) \\
 &\quad + r \log \left(F_{r+1:m} \left(x_{(r+1),(r+1)} \right) \right) + r \log \left(1 - F_{r+1:m} \left(x_{(r+1),(r+1)} \right) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

and the likelihood equations in this case are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial \ell_{D(o)}}{\partial \lambda} = & \frac{m}{\lambda} - m^2 \sum_{j=1}^r x_{1,j}^\beta - \sum_{k=r+2}^m x_{m,k}^\beta + (m^2 - 1) \sum_{k=r+2}^m \left(\frac{x_{m,k}^\beta e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^\beta}}{1 - e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^\beta}} \right) \\
 & - (r+1) x_{(r+1),(r+1)}^\beta + r \left(\frac{x_{(r+1),(r+1)}^\beta e^{-\lambda x_{(r+1),(r+1)}^\beta}}{1 - e^{-\lambda x_{(r+1),(r+1)}^\beta}} \right) \\
 & + F_\lambda \left(\frac{1 - 2 F_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)})}{F_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)})(1 - F_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)})} \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial \ell_{D(o)}}{\partial \beta} = & \frac{m}{\beta} + \sum_{j=1}^r \log x_{1,j} + \sum_{k=r+2}^m \log x_{m,k} - \lambda m^2 \sum_{j=1}^r x_{1,j}^\beta \log x_{1,j} \\
 & - \lambda \sum_{k=r+2}^m x_{m,k}^\beta \log x_{m,k} + (m^2 - 1) \sum_{k=r+2}^m \frac{\lambda x_{m,k}^\beta \log x_{m,k} e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^\beta}}{1 - e^{-\lambda x_{m,k}^\beta}} \\
 & + \log x_{(r+1),(r+1)} - \lambda (r+1) (\log x_{(r+1),(r+1)}) x_{(r+1),(r+1)}^\beta \\
 & + r \left(\frac{\lambda x_{(r+1),(r+1)}^\beta \log x_{(r+1),(r+1)} e^{-\lambda x_{(r+1),(r+1)}^\beta}}{1 - e^{-\lambda x_{(r+1),(r+1)}^\beta}} \right) \\
 & + F_\beta \left(\frac{1 - 2 F_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)})}{F_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)})(1 - F_{r+1:m}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)})} \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Where

$$F_\lambda = \frac{\partial F_{r+1:m}}{\partial \lambda}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}) \quad \text{and} \quad F_\beta = \frac{\partial F_{r+1:m}}{\partial \beta}(x_{(r+1),(r+1)}).$$

Simulation Study

In this section, we study a Monte Carlo simulation to compare the performance of the Maximum Likelihood method under complete sample, ranked sample and

PARAMETER ESTIMATION BASED ON DRSS WITH APPLICATIONS

double ranked sample. The data were generated from the Weibull distribution for different values of λ and β . The simulation algorithm is as follows:

For complete samples

1. Generate m random samples from the Weibull distribution using the quantile function defined in equation (13) with 10000 replicates.
2. For different sample sizes $m = 6, 9, 10, 15, 20, 25$, and 30 , and different parameter values for λ and β are $\lambda = 0.5, 1.5$, and 3 ; $\beta = 0.5, 1.5$, and 3 . Obtain the MLE.
3. Calculate the bias and mean square errors (MSE) of the estimates derived from equations (14) and (15).

For ranked set samples

1. Generate n random samples from the Weibull distribution using the quantile function defined in equation (13) with 10000 replicates.
2. Use the RSS method to simulate RSS samples as mentioned earlier.
3. Repeat steps 1 and 2 r (no. of cycles) times such that $m = nr$.
4. Obtain the MLE by solving equations (17) and (18) simultaneously and calculate the bias, MSE, and relative efficiency of the RSS estimators compared to the SRS estimators where the relative efficiency of $\hat{\theta}_2$ compared with $\hat{\theta}_1$ is defined as

$$\text{Eff}(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2) = \frac{\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_1)}{\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_2)}.$$

For double ranked set samples

1. Generate m^3 random samples from the Weibull distribution using the quantile function defined in equation (13) with 10000 replicates.
2. Use the DRSS method to simulate DRSS samples as mentioned earlier for even and odd sample sizes and obtain the MLE equations (20) and (21) for odd samples and equation (23) and (24) for even samples.
3. Calculate the bias, MSE, and relative efficiency of the DRSS estimators compared to the RSS estimators.

The results of the simulation study are reported in Tables 1-3. From the tables it can be seen that

1. As the sample size increases the MSE decreases for both λ and β parameters.
2. For fixed m and λ as β increases the MSE for both λ and β increases.
3. As λ increases the MSE for both λ and β increases.
4. The relative efficiencies for both DRSS estimators are higher than 1, indicating better estimators compared to the both RSS and SRS estimators.
5. An overfitting problem may be an issue when using the proposed DRSS likelihood functions.

Conclusion

The likelihood function for DRSS schemes were derived and maximum likelihood estimators for the Weibull distribution were studied based on SRS, RSS and DRSS. It is clear from the simulation results that the likelihood function used to estimate the parameters of the Weibull distribution based on DRSS showed relatively efficient estimates compared to RSS estimators and the authors recommend using the DRSS likelihood function proposed for estimating distribution parameters.

References

- Al-Odat, M. T., & Al-Saleh, M. F. (2001). A variation of ranked set sampling. *Journal of Applied Statistical Science*, 10(2), 137-146.
- Al-Omari, A. I., & Jaber, K. (2010). Improvement in estimating the population mean in double extreme ranked set sampling. *International Mathematical Forum*, 5(26), 1265-1275. Retrieved from <http://www.m-hikari.com/imf-2010/25-28-2010/alomariIMF25-28-2010.pdf>
- Al-Saleh, M. F., & Al-Kadiri, M. A. (2000). Double ranked set sampling. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 48(2), 205-212. doi: 10.1016/S0167-7152(99)00206-0
- Al-Saleh, M. F., & Al-Omari A. I. (2002). Multistage ranked set sampling. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 102(2), 273-286. doi: 10.1016/S0378-3758(01)00086-6

PARAMETER ESTIMATION BASED ON DRSS WITH APPLICATIONS

Barry, C. A., Balakrishnan, N., & Nagaraja, H. N. (2008). *A first course in order statistics*. Philadelphia, PA: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics. doi: [10.1137/1.9780898719062](https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9780898719062)

David, H. A., & Nagaraja, H. N. (2003). *Order statistics* (3rd edition). New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. doi: [10.1002/0471722162](https://doi.org/10.1002/0471722162)

McIntyre, G. A. (1952). A method for unbiased selective sampling, using ranked sets. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 3(4), 385-390. doi: [10.1071/AR9520385](https://doi.org/10.1071/AR9520385)

Muttlak, H. A. (1997). Median ranked set sampling. *Journal of Applied Statistics Sciences*, 6, 245-255.

Samawi, H. M., Ahmed, M. S., & Abu-Dayyeh, W. A. (1996). Estimating the population mean using extreme ranked set sampling. *Biometrical Journal*, 38(5), 577-586. doi: [10.1002/bimj.4710380506](https://doi.org/10.1002/bimj.4710380506)

Weibull, W. (1951). A statistical distribution function of wide applicability. *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 18(3), 293-297.

Wolfe, D. A. (2004). Ranked set sampling: An approach to more efficient data collection. *Statistical Science*, 19(4), 636-643. doi: [10.1214/088342304000000369](https://doi.org/10.1214/088342304000000369)

Appendix A: Tables

Table 1. Bias, mean squared error (MSE), and efficiency of the estimators for λ and β when $\lambda = 0.5$ for all sampling schemes

Est.	m	β	SRS		RSS		DRSS		Efficiency	
			Bias	MSE	Bias	MSE	Bias	MSE	SRS/RSS	RSS/DRSS
$\hat{\lambda}$	6	0.5	0.1674	0.0333	0.0683	0.0152	-0.0028	0.0085	2.1946	1.7838
		1.5	0.2176	0.0499	0.0888	0.0179	-0.0036	0.0099	2.7885	1.8081
		3.0	0.2678	0.0599	0.1094	0.0315	-0.0045	0.0159	1.9015	1.9811
	9	0.5	0.0924	0.0208	0.0413	0.0124	-0.0947	0.0059	1.6727	2.1019
		1.5	0.1664	0.0374	0.0619	0.0186	-0.1515	0.0095	2.0072	1.9706
		3.0	0.2995	0.0673	0.0929	0.0279	-0.2424	0.0151	2.4087	1.8474
	10	0.5	0.0780	0.0104	0.0317	0.0053	-0.0093	0.0028	1.9609	1.8915
		1.5	0.1170	0.0135	0.0475	0.0079	-0.0140	0.0049	1.6994	1.6213
		3.0	0.1637	0.0156	0.0665	0.0111	-0.0196	0.0056	1.4006	1.9861
	15	0.5	0.0486	0.0086	0.0148	0.0041	-0.0087	0.0027	2.0879	1.5242
		1.5	0.1020	0.0137	0.0267	0.0074	-0.0139	0.0043	1.8559	1.7148
		3.0	0.2143	0.0206	0.0481	0.0133	-0.0222	0.0069	1.5466	1.9291
	20	0.5	0.0360	0.0051	0.0105	0.0022	-0.0169	0.0012	2.3604	1.7935
		1.5	0.0756	0.0081	0.0220	0.0045	-0.0354	0.0032	1.7984	1.4124
		3.0	0.1151	0.0107	0.0335	0.0099	-0.0539	0.0081	1.0776	1.2222
	25	0.5	0.0283	0.0025	0.0089	0.0014	-0.0081	0.0009	1.7509	1.6452
		1.5	0.0537	0.0035	0.0160	0.0026	-0.0105	0.0015	1.3618	1.7124
		3.0	0.1020	0.0059	0.0288	0.0046	-0.0136	0.0019	1.2862	2.4334
	30	0.5	0.0215	0.0023	0.0040	0.0010	-0.0198	0.0006	2.4001	1.5094
		1.5	0.0419	0.0035	0.0078	0.0019	-0.0387	0.0010	1.8462	1.9153
		3.0	0.0945	0.0049	0.0175	0.0043	-0.0872	0.0022	1.1455	1.9866

PARAMETER ESTIMATION BASED ON DRSS WITH APPLICATIONS

Table 1 (continued).

Est.	m	β	SRS		RSS		DRSS		Efficiency	
			Bias	MSE	Bias	MSE	Bias	MSE	SRS/RSS	RSS/DRSS
$\hat{\beta}$	6	0.5	0.1440	0.4171	0.0141	0.2132	-0.0614	0.0896	1.9563	2.3793
		1.5	0.3487	2.7577	0.0857	2.1643	-0.4876	1.1728	1.2742	1.8455
		3.0	0.8374	13.0006	0.1876	8.0245	-0.7617	3.2899	1.6201	2.4392
	9	0.5	0.0970	0.1768	0.0180	0.1099	0.0304	0.0444	1.6086	2.4783
		1.5	0.3258	1.7683	0.0356	0.8537	0.0932	0.3913	2.0715	2.1815
		3.0	0.4624	6.3481	0.0595	3.3897	0.1689	1.5131	1.8727	2.2403
	10	0.5	0.0754	0.1602	0.0058	0.0794	-0.0528	0.0374	2.0170	2.1262
		1.5	0.2252	1.4242	0.0264	0.7450	-0.3585	0.6990	1.9115	1.0659
		3.0	0.3929	4.9415	0.0517	2.5802	-0.7083	2.2336	1.9151	1.1552
	15	0.5	0.0397	0.0802	0.0049	0.0338	0.0228	0.0134	2.3717	2.5280
		1.5	0.1292	0.8445	0.0081	0.3119	0.0604	0.1164	2.7079	2.6784
		3.0	0.3018	3.3874	0.0267	1.2575	0.1334	0.4772	2.6938	2.6351
	20	0.5	0.0290	0.0665	0.0078	0.0214	-0.0241	0.0037	3.1118	5.8318
		1.5	0.1273	0.6224	0.0214	0.1858	-0.1742	0.1215	3.3503	1.5286
		3.0	0.2170	2.2980	0.0009	0.6732	-0.0448	0.3555	3.4137	1.8935
	25	0.5	0.0283	0.0628	-0.0022	0.0140	0.0154	0.0047	4.4935	2.9681
		1.5	0.1549	0.6490	0.0138	0.1152	0.0464	0.0415	5.6357	2.7718
		3.0	0.2629	2.3790	-0.0294	0.4940	0.1030	0.2664	4.8155	1.8545
	30	0.5	0.0310	0.0430	0.0006	0.0099	-0.0058	0.0064	4.3360	1.5406
		1.5	0.0897	0.4256	0.0013	0.0825	-0.0818	0.0332	5.1585	2.4856
		3.0	0.1771	1.5732	-0.0023	0.3222	0.0610	0.1959	4.8832	1.6444

Table 2. Bias, mean squared error (MSE), and efficiency of the estimators for λ and β when $\lambda = 1.5$ for all sampling schemes

Est.	m	β	SRS		RSS		DRSS		Efficiency	
			Bias	MSE	Bias	MSE	Bias	MSE	SRS/RSS	RSS/DRSS
$\hat{\lambda}$	6	0.5	0.5022	1.0829	0.2050	0.2625	-0.0045	0.1893	5.7193	1.3861
		1.5	0.8211	1.1748	0.3352	0.4291	-0.0074	0.3535	3.3229	1.2138
		3.0	1.1049	1.2883	0.4511	0.5774	-0.0099	0.4933	2.6116	1.1705
	9	0.5	0.2773	0.4124	0.1239	0.1192	-0.2841	0.0902	4.5714	1.3211
		1.5	0.4437	0.6598	0.2230	0.2145	-0.5682	0.1804	3.6571	1.1890
		3.0	0.7100	1.0557	0.4013	0.3861	-1.1364	0.3608	2.9257	1.0701
	10	0.5	0.2339	0.1212	0.0950	0.1037	-0.0271	0.0526	1.1687	1.9709
		1.5	0.3509	0.1532	0.1425	0.1105	-0.0406	0.0789	1.3864	1.4005
		3.0	0.5263	0.2298	0.2138	0.1526	-0.0609	0.1183	1.5059	1.2894
	15	0.5	0.1458	0.1545	0.0445	0.0444	-0.0266	0.0239	3.4832	1.8521
		1.5	0.2624	0.2781	0.0712	0.0710	-0.0346	0.0311	3.9186	2.2795
		3.0	0.4382	0.3568	0.1139	0.1135	-0.0450	0.0405	3.1424	2.8056
	20	0.5	0.1079	0.1143	0.0314	0.0394	-0.0505	0.0214	2.9033	1.8380
		1.5	0.2051	0.2078	0.0596	0.0727	-0.0959	0.0483	2.8583	1.5046
		3.0	0.2699	0.2516	0.0785	0.0928	-0.1262	0.0643	2.7112	1.4428
	25	0.5	0.0848	0.0750	0.0267	0.0284	-0.0124	0.0190	2.6365	1.4957
		1.5	0.1611	0.1424	0.0406	0.0432	-0.0206	0.0315	3.2956	1.3697
		3.0	0.3061	0.2706	0.0617	0.0657	-0.0342	0.0524	4.1195	1.2544
	30	0.5	0.0644	0.2524	0.0119	0.1981	-0.0601	0.1002	1.2741	1.9772
		1.5	0.1159	0.4126	0.0215	0.3365	-0.1081	0.1804	1.2262	1.8657
		3.0	0.2087	1.0004	0.0387	0.8037	-0.1946	0.4936	1.2449	1.6281

PARAMETER ESTIMATION BASED ON DRSS WITH APPLICATIONS

Table 2 (continued).

Est.	m	β	SRS		RSS		DRSS		Efficiency	
			Bias	MSE	Bias	MSE	Bias	MSE	SRS/RSS	RSS/DRSS
$\hat{\beta}$	6	0.5	-0.0018	0.0201	-0.0037	0.0115	0.0064	0.0048	1.7488	2.3941
		1.5	0.0075	0.1909	-0.0122	0.1050	-0.0140	0.0416	1.8176	2.5221
		3.0	-0.0198	0.7386	-0.0099	0.4476	-0.0279	0.1665	1.6501	2.6875
	9	0.5	-0.0028	0.0130	-0.0009	0.0063	0.0062	0.0032	2.0755	1.9494
		1.5	-0.0234	0.1305	-0.0067	0.0515	0.0215	0.0279	2.5327	1.8457
		3.0	-0.0094	0.4673	0.0058	0.2076	0.0475	0.1214	2.2504	1.7107
	10	0.5	-0.0006	0.0126	-0.0017	0.0045	0.0070	0.0058	2.8065	0.7694
		1.5	-0.0060	0.1142	-0.0125	0.0427	0.0099	0.0200	2.6761	2.1293
		3.0	-0.0130	0.4097	-0.0030	0.1737	0.0198	0.0940	2.3586	1.8476
	15	0.5	0.0011	0.0080	-0.0008	0.0022	0.0077	0.0011	3.6714	1.9591
		1.5	-0.0078	0.0763	-0.0041	0.0203	0.0214	0.0109	3.7524	1.8664
		3.0	-0.0111	0.3113	-0.0085	0.0781	0.0419	0.0376	3.9858	2.0745
	20	0.5	-0.0023	0.0059	0.0010	0.0012	0.0083	0.0011	4.8106	1.1691
		1.5	-0.0033	0.0464	-0.0032	0.0107	0.0500	0.0096	4.3420	1.1176
		3.0	0.0315	0.2025	-0.0031	0.0479	0.0999	0.0382	4.2280	1.2536
	25	0.5	-0.0011	0.0059	-0.0012	0.0008	0.0055	0.0004	7.0495	2.3026
		1.5	-0.0074	0.0554	-0.0011	0.0081	0.0158	0.0033	6.8690	2.4647
		3.0	0.0110	0.2206	-0.0040	0.0314	0.0351	0.0156	7.0283	2.0145
	30	0.5	-0.0014	0.0032	-0.0003	0.0007	0.0009	0.0003	4.3410	2.7631
		1.5	0.0027	0.0329	-0.0016	0.0083	0.0073	0.0048	3.9705	1.7203
		3.0	0.0087	0.1012	-0.0021	0.0309	0.0051	0.0159	3.2707	1.9491

Table 3. Bias, mean squared error (MSE), and efficiency of the estimators for λ and β when $\lambda = 3$ for all sampling schemes

Est.	m	β	SRS		RSS		DRSS		Efficiency	
			Bias	MSE	Bias	MSE	Bias	MSE	SRS/RSS	RSS/DRSS
$\hat{\lambda}$	6	0.5	1.0044	2.7136	0.4101	0.9264	-0.0070	0.3904	2.9291	2.3728
		1.5	2.0015	3.2569	0.8201	1.8528	-0.0141	0.9586	1.7578	1.9329
		3.0	3.0142	4.1867	1.6402	2.8540	-0.0282	1.5459	1.4670	1.8462
	9	0.5	0.5547	1.1495	0.2477	0.5767	-0.5682	0.2608	1.9932	2.2110
		1.5	0.8320	1.7242	0.3964	0.9227	-0.6820	0.4695	1.8686	1.9654
		3.0	1.2480	2.5863	0.6342	1.4763	0.3180	0.8451	1.7518	1.7470
	10	0.5	0.4678	1.2462	0.1900	0.4847	-0.0537	0.2560	2.5712	1.8930
		1.5	0.7017	1.8693	0.3420	1.8564	-0.0698	1.1260	1.0069	1.6487
		3.0	1.0526	2.8039	0.6156	2.8564	-0.0908	1.9586	0.9816	1.4584
	15	0.5	0.2916	1.6180	0.0890	1.1380	-0.0095	0.6579	1.4218	1.7298
		1.5	0.5248	2.9123	0.1335	2.1053	-0.0200	1.3816	1.3833	1.5238
		3.0	0.9447	5.2422	0.2003	3.8948	-0.0420	2.9013	1.3460	1.3424
	20	0.5	0.2159	1.4572	0.0628	1.0775	-0.1009	0.5706	1.3524	1.8883
		1.5	0.4318	2.9145	0.1381	2.3704	-0.2522	1.4265	1.2295	1.6617
		3.0	0.8635	5.8289	0.3039	5.2150	-0.6305	3.5663	1.1177	1.4623
	25	0.5	0.1696	1.5998	0.0534	1.0251	-0.0448	0.5012	1.5606	2.0452
		1.5	0.3561	3.3596	0.1334	2.5628	-0.1345	1.5037	1.3109	1.7043
		3.0	0.7479	7.0552	0.3335	6.4071	-0.4035	4.2365	1.1011	1.5124
	30	0.5	0.1288	1.2417	0.0239	0.9352	-0.1145	0.4169	1.3277	2.2432
		1.5	0.2963	2.8559	0.0621	2.4316	-0.3322	1.2091	1.1745	2.0111
		3.0	0.6814	6.5686	0.1613	6.3223	-0.9633	3.5064	1.0390	1.8031

PARAMETER ESTIMATION BASED ON DRSS WITH APPLICATIONS

Table 3 (continued).

Est.	m	β	SRS		RSS		DRSS		Efficiency	
			Bias	MSE	Bias	MSE	Bias	MSE	SRS/RSS	RSS/DRSS
$\hat{\beta}$	6	0.5	-0.0080	0.0049	-0.0013	0.0027	0.0010	0.0011	1.8407	2.4219
		1.5	-0.0185	0.0439	-0.0121	0.0271	0.0124	0.0199	1.6221	1.3615
		3.0	-0.0400	0.1792	-0.0259	0.1006	-0.0778	0.0669	1.7814	1.5030
	9	0.5	-0.0013	0.0033	-0.0020	0.0013	0.0040	0.0005	2.4310	2.4587
		1.5	-0.0095	0.0299	-0.0028	0.0114	0.0115	0.0048	2.6225	2.3512
		3.0	-0.0462	0.1265	-0.0161	0.0443	0.0222	0.0201	2.8547	2.2070
	10	0.5	-0.0021	0.0030	-0.0012	0.0011	0.0002	0.0002	2.7812	4.4295
		1.5	-0.0076	0.0263	-0.0048	0.0101	0.0248	0.0034	2.6010	3.0004
		3.0	-0.0182	0.1048	-0.0157	0.0417	-0.0925	0.0205	2.5125	2.0317
	15	0.5	-0.0028	0.0012	-0.0003	0.0005	0.0032	0.0002	2.4414	2.6274
		1.5	-0.0116	0.0122	-0.0005	0.0045	0.0100	0.0016	2.7258	2.7871
		3.0	-0.0208	0.0237	-0.0086	0.0187	0.0202	0.0163	1.2638	1.1483
	20	0.5	-0.0020	0.0100	-0.0005	0.0039	0.0001	0.0023	2.5811	1.7051
		1.5	-0.0059	0.0102	-0.0045	0.0030	0.0047	0.0017	3.3912	1.7322
		3.0	-0.0177	0.0450	-0.0018	0.0153	-0.0573	0.0083	2.9399	1.8390
	25	0.5	-0.0010	0.0100	-0.0007	0.0088	0.0030	0.0066	1.1305	1.3405
		1.5	-0.0042	0.0022	-0.0023	0.0017	0.0071	0.0005	1.2612	3.3802
		3.0	-0.0061	0.0486	-0.0015	0.0071	0.0155	0.0023	6.8451	3.1183
	30	0.5	-0.0009	0.0010	0.0002	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	6.8424	1.3014
		1.5	-0.0084	0.0088	-0.0005	0.0016	0.0059	0.0008	5.6281	2.0894
		3.0	-0.0099	0.0374	-0.0007	0.0049	-0.0326	0.0027	7.5968	1.8095